	1.7	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/830,042	HOLT, JOHN M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES RUTTEN	2192
All Participants:	Status of Application: after-final	
(1) <u>JAMES RUTTEN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Larry J. Hume, Reg. No. 44,163.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 July 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 17, 18, 24-26 and 52 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/J. Derek Rutten/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

/ Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that claims 17, 18, 24-26 and 52 did not comptly with 37 CFR 1.121 since they are presented with a status identifier as both "Previously Presented" and "Cancelled." Mr. Hume filed a supplemental amendment to correct the matter on 7/26/2010.